

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Chairman JIM IRVIN Commissioner MARC SPITZER

Commissioner

2

3

5

9

12

13

14

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAY 04 2001

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF QWEST CORPORATION F/K/A U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., FOR APPROVAL OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT TO THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH IONEX COMMUNICATIONS NORTH, INC

DOCKET NOS. T-01051B-01-0172 T-03864A-01-0172

DECISION NO. 63641

**ORDER** 

10 Open Meeting May 1 and 2, 2001 11 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On February 27, 2001, Owest Corporation ("Owest"), f/k/a U S WEST 15 Communications, Inc., filed an application for approval of the Fourth Amendment to the 16 Interconnection Agreement between Qwest and Ionex Communications North, Inc. ("Ionex"). The 17 original Interconnection Agreement was approved by the Commission on August 24, 2000, in 18 Decision No. 62841.
- The Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act"), directed incumbent local 2. 19 20 exchange carriers to make their networks available for interconnection and resale by new entrants 21 to the local exchange market. The 1996 Act provides for interconnection and resale agreements to 22 be concluded by voluntary negotiation.
- This Fourth Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement between Owest and 3. 23 Ionex was voluntarily negotiated, without resort to arbitration.
- Under the terms of this Fourth Amendment, terms and conditions are added for 25 26 Physical Collocation, Collocation Augments, Unbundled Loop Qualification Database access, Unbundled Loop Order Provisioning, Customer Care Standards and Interim Shared Loop Price.

28

3

8

11

12 13

14

16

17

15

18

19 20

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

5. According to the 1996 Act, the Commission must approve voluntarily negotiated interconnection and resale agreements, if their provisions are non-discriminatory and in the public linterest.

- Staff has reviewed the Fourth Amendment and finds it to be non-discriminatory and 6. in the public interest. Owest is offering the same terms and conditions of the Agreement to all other interested parties. The Agreement is in the public interest because it will act to further competition in the local exchange market in Arizona.
- 7. Since there are no grounds for rejection of the Fourth Amendment pursuant to Section 252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act, Staff has recommended that the Commission approve the 10 Fourth Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest and Ionex.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Owest is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Ionex and over the subject matter of the Application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the Application and Staff's Memorandum has determined that the Fourth Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement negotiated between Owest and Ionex meets the requirements of Section 252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act, which governs the approval of voluntarily-negotiated agreements and is in the public interest.
- The Commission maintains jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Agreement and Amendments thereof, to the extent permitted pursuant to the powers granted the Commission by the Arizona Constitution, Statutes, Commission Rule, and the 1996 Act and the Rules promulgated thereunder.

Decision No. 6364/

CHAIRMAN

DISSENT:

**ORDER** 

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Commission hereby approves the Fourth Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest and Ionex filed on February 27, 2001.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of May, 2001.

BRIAN C. MONEIL Executive Secretary

DRS:EAA:jbc/MAS

Decision No. <u>6364/</u>

26

27

28